

Selective Oxidative Coupling of Methane over Supported Lead Oxide Catalyst

Kenji ASAMI,* Shigeru HASHIMOTO, Tsutomu SHIKADA,
Kaoru FUJIMOTO, and Hiro-o TOMINAGA
Department of Synthetic Chemistry, Faculty of Engineering,
The University of Tokyo,
Hongo 7-3-1, Bunkyo-ku, Tokyo 113

It was found that lead oxide catalysts supported on basic materials such as MgO and β "-Al₂O₃ were excellent catalysts for the oxidative coupling of methane to C₂ hydrocarbons. For example, PbO/MgO catalyst gave 11.1 mmol/g·h of C₂ STY and 87% of C₂ selectivity under the conditions of 750 °C, W/F=1.0 g·h/mol, P(CH₄)=61 kPa, P(O₂)=1.6 kPa and atmospheric pressure.

Methane is a main constituent of natural gas of which proved reserve is very large. The largest part of the current use for methane is that as fuel. In chemical meaning methane is most inactive and, therefore, it has been used largely for the production of synthesis gas by steam reforming. Recently, keen attention is being paid to oxidative coupling reaction of methane to C₂ hydrocarbons as chemical raw material. Supported PbO catalysts have been reported to be active for this reaction by Keller et al.¹⁾ and Hinsen et al.²⁾ However, the selectivity to C₂ hydrocarbons was poor (<58%) on γ -Al₂O₃-supported catalyst, and C₂ STY was low (0.75 mmol/g·h) on SiO₂-supported catalyst. Hinsen et al. also pointed out that alkali addition to PbO/ γ -Al₂O₃ catalyst gave increased selectivity for C₂.²⁾ The other catalysts which are reported to be active for this reaction such as rare earth metal oxides³⁾ and Li/MgO⁴⁾ have basic character. On the other hand, C₂ hydrocarbons were scarcely obtained on acidic catalysts such as H-ZSM5, using dioxygen as the oxidant.⁵⁾ In view of the above, acidity or basicity of catalysts are significant to this reaction.

In the present work, a number of supported PbO catalysts have been prepared and tested proving that basic carriers such as MgO or β "-Al₂O₃ are excellent materials for producing C₂ hydrocarbons from methane. Some of the catalytic properties of PbO/MgO have been also studied.

All the catalysts used were prepared by impregnating supports with aqueous solution of Pb(NO₃)₂ by a dry-up method, followed by drying in air at 120 °C for 12 h. PbO loading was 20 wt%. They were activated in flowing air at 750 °C for 0.5-1 h in situ. All the supports were used after calcination in air at 800 °C for 2 h before impregnation. The size of the catalysts was 20-40 mesh. Activity tests were performed with a flow type reaction apparatus. The reactor was a quartz tube with 10 mm inner diameter. The standard reaction conditions were 750

$^{\circ}\text{C}$, 1.0 g·h/mol, atmospheric pressure, $P(\text{CH}_4)=14$ kPa, and $P(\text{O}_2)=1.6$ kPa and the balance was nitrogen gas. Catalyst loaded was 1.0 g in weight. All the reactants and products were analysed by gas chromatography.

Table 1 shows the experimental results obtained over PbO catalysts on various supports. All data were taken when the activities reached steady state (4-5 h) except for MgO and $\beta''\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ (24 h). The yield in the table is conversion of methane to each product. Although oxygen conversions were fairly high (40-100%) on acidic carriers such as $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ and $\text{SiO}_2\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, carbon oxides were formed predominantly over C_2 hydrocarbons. Even in the case of $\text{PbO}/\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$, which has been reported to be active for this reaction,²⁾ the selectivity to C_2 hydrocarbons

Table 1. Effect of carrier material on PbO catalyst

| Carrier | Conversion /% | | Yield /% | | | | Selectivity /% | | | |
|---|---------------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|------|---------------|------------------------|------------------------|------|---------------|
| | O_2 | CH_4 | C_2H_6 | C_2H_4 | CO | CO_2 | C_2H_6 | C_2H_4 | CO | CO_2 |
| $\gamma\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | 100 | 7.8 | 1.24 | 1.19 | 0.10 | 5.20 | 16.1 | 15.4 | 1.3 | 67.2 |
| $\text{SiO}_2\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3^{\text{a)}$ | 52.9 | 3.3 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 2.33 | 0.82 | 0.8 | 1.5 | 72.3 | 25.5 |
| $\text{SiO}_2\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3^{\text{b)}$ | 42.4 | 2.4 | 0.06 | 0.05 | 1.46 | 0.92 | 2.5 | 1.8 | 58.8 | 36.9 |
| $\text{SiO}_2^{\text{c)}$ | 29.9 | 2.4 | 1.11 | 0.18 | 0 | 1.13 | 45.8 | 7.5 | 0 | 46.6 |
| $\text{SiO}_2^{\text{d)}$ | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | 1.8 | 0.1 | 0.10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| SiC | 1.4 | 0.1 | 0.05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TiO_2 | 23.0 | 3.0 | 1.10 | 0.09 | 0 | 0.87 | 53.3 | 4.4 | 0 | 42.4 |
| ZrO_2 | 9.1 | 1.1 | 0.46 | 0.04 | 0 | 0.60 | 41.9 | 3.4 | 0 | 54.7 |
| ZnO | 36.2 | 3.5 | 1.19 | 0.15 | 0 | 2.15 | 34.1 | 4.2 | 0 | 61.7 |
| Nb_2O_5 | 9.6 | 0.9 | 0.12 | 0.10 | 0 | 0.67 | 13.7 | 0 | 10.9 | 75.4 |
| CuO | 23.5 | 1.2 | 0.13 | 0 | 0 | 1.10 | 10.6 | 0 | 0 | 89.4 |
| SnO_2 | 46.2 | 4.6 | 1.99 | 0.36 | 0 | 2.21 | 43.6 | 7.9 | 0 | 48.5 |
| BeO | 79.5 | 5.8 | 2.39 | 0.31 | 0 | 3.14 | 48.9 | 5.3 | 0 | 53.8 |
| MgO | 84.3 | 10.0 | 5.29 | 1.30 | 0.25 | 3.21 | 52.6 | 12.9 | 2.4 | 32.0 |
| CaO | 100 | 10.1 | 3.02 | 0.48 | 0 | 5.31 | 34.3 | 5.5 | 0.1 | 60.2 |
| SrO | 16.5 | 1.7 | 0.67 | 0.08 | 0 | 0.95 | 39.4 | 4.9 | 0 | 55.7 |
| BaO | 14.8 | 1.5 | 0.54 | 0.03 | 0 | 0.95 | 35.8 | 1.9 | 0 | 62.3 |
| CaCO_3 | 81.4 | 7.4 | 2.70 | 0.57 | 0 | 4.28 | 35.8 | 7.6 | 0 | 56.7 |
| SrCO_3 | 52.5 | 3.9 | 1.37 | 0.22 | 0 | 2.29 | 35.2 | 5.8 | 0 | 59.0 |
| BaCO_3 | 49.3 | 3.0 | 0.95 | 0.07 | 0 | 1.95 | 31.9 | 2.4 | 0 | 65.7 |
| $\beta''\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$ | 88.6 | 10.1 | 5.19 | 1.25 | 0 | 3.64 | 51.5 | 12.4 | 0 | 36.1 |
| La_2O_3 | 97.8 | 7.9 | 2.37 | 0.41 | 0 | 5.10 | 30.1 | 5.2 | 0 | 64.8 |

a) $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3=4.9$. b) $\text{SiO}_2/\text{Al}_2\text{O}_3=11.2$. c) after 0.5 h. d) after 4 h
 PbO loading; 20 wt%, Reaction conditions; Temp 750°C , $W/F=1.0$ g·h/mol,
 $P(\text{CH}_4)=14$ kPa, $P(\text{O}_2)=1.6$ kPa.




Fig. 1. The effect of temperature
cat. PbO/MgO, W/F=1.0 g·h/mol,
P(CH₄)=14 kPa, P(O₂)=1.6 kPa.




Fig. 2. The effect of P(O₂)
cat. PbO/MgO, Temp 750 °C,
W/F=1.0 g·h/mol, P(CH₄)=14 kPa.




Fig. 3. The effect of P(CH₄)
cat. PbO/MgO, Temp 750 °C,
W/F=1.0 g·h/mol, P(O₂)=1.6 kPa.

was 32% or less. Neutral supports such as SiO₂, α-Al₂O₃, and SiC showed poor O₂ conversions. In the case of SiO₂ deactivation was very fast, that is the O₂ conversion was 30% at 0.5 h of process time, and after 4 h O₂ conversion decreased to 0.5%. This observation coincides with that has been already reported²⁾. It seems that PbO reacted with SiO₂ to form an inactive compound. As only ethane was detected from the initial period on α-Al₂O₃- or SiC-supported catalysts, apparent selectivity to C₂ hydrocarbons was nearly 100%. Over the PbO supported on the carriers weakly acidic or basic such as TiO₂, ZrO₂, and ZnO, oxygen conversions were not so high (9-36%) but the yields of C₂ hydrocarbons were fairly high (0.53-1.34%), and the CO₂ formation was relatively small. Thus the selectivity of C₂ hydrocarbons reached 40-60%. On the catalysts supported on basic materials such as oxides or carbonates of alkaline earth, β"-Al₂O₃, and La₂O₃, oxygen conversions (49.3-97.8%) and the yields of C₂ hydrocarbons (1-7%) were fairly high. The exceptional low activities of SrO- and BaO-supported catalysts might be attributed to their low surface area. Because their parent materials have exceptionally low melting points (Sr(OH)₂; 375 °C and Ba(OH)₂; 408 °C) and thus melted during the calcination at 800 °C. Among these catalysts, MgO- and β"-Al₂O₃-supported catalysts showed outstanding yields and selectivities to C₂ hydrocarbons which were higher than 6% and 60%, respectively.

As MgO-supported catalyst showed the highest activity and selectivity for C₂ hydrocarbon formation, its catalytic performances were studied. Fig. 1 shows the dependence on reaction temperature under the standard conditions. With the increase in temperature, the increase in ethane and ethylene was larger than CO and CO₂, so that the selectivity to C₂ hydrocarbons increased from 38.5% to 70.8% as the temperature was

raised from 700 °C to 800 °C. Experimental results obtained by changing partial pressure of O₂ in the feed gas from 1.0 kPa to 3.6 kPa while keeping P(CH₄) at 14 kPa are shown in Fig. 2. Ethane yield increased with increasing P(O₂) up to 1.6 kPa and then decreased. The yields of CO and CO₂ increased linearly, and ethylene yield slightly increased with increasing P(O₂). Therefore, selectivity to C₂ hydrocarbons was decreased from 75.6% to 48.2%. The effects of P(CH₄) on the reaction was also examined as demonstrated in Fig. 3. While the formations of CO and CO₂ increased with increasing P(CH₄) up to 15 kPa and decreased above the pressure, those of ethane and ethylene were increased monotonously. Particularly, ethane production at 60 kPa was six times higher than that at 6.4 kPa and space time yield (STY) of C₂ hydrocarbons reached to 11.1 mmol/g·h. The value is comparable to those shown in the literature (Table 2). Moreover, at the pressure above 34 kPa, C₃ hydrocarbons (propane and propylene) were formed.

In conclusion, basic materials are effective as a carrier of PbO catalyst for oxidative coupling of methane, and the reaction is favored at higher temperature, lower P(O₂), and higher P(CH₄).

Table 2. Catalytic activity for oxidative coupling of methane

| Catalyst | C ₂ STY mmol·g ⁻¹ ·h ⁻¹ | C ₂ sel. % | Temp °C | P(CH ₄) kPa | P(CH ₄)/P(O ₂) | Ref. No. |
|-----------------------------------|---|--------------------------|------------|----------------------------|--|-----------|
| PbO/SiO ₂ | 0.75 | 71.4 | 740 | 70 | 10 | 2 |
| Sm ₂ O ₃ | 0.79 | 92.8 | 700 | 18.2 | 45 | 3 |
| Li/MgO | 0.25 | 50.3 | 720 | 7.9 | 2.0 | 4 |
| Li/Sm ₂ O ₃ | 1.41 | 57 | 750 | 5.1 | 2.5 | 6 |
| LaAlO ₃ | 8.31 | 48.4 | 710 | 50 | 5.0 | 7 |
| PbO/MgO | 11.10 | 85.3 | 750 | 61.3 | 37.8 | This work |

References

- 1) G.E. Keller and M.M. Bhasin, *J. Catal.*, **73**, 9(1982).
- 2) W. Hinsien, W. Bytyn, and M. Baerns, *Proc. 8th Int. Congr. Catal.*, **3**, 581(1984).
- 3) K. Otsuka, K. Jinno, and A. Morikawa, *Chem. Lett.*, **1985**, 499.
- 4) T. Ito, Ji-Xiang Wang, Chiu-Hsun Lin, and J.H. Lunsford, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, **107**, 5062(1985).
- 5) J.R. Anderson and P. Tsai, *Appl. Catal.*, **19**, 141(1985).
- 6) K. Otsuka, Q. Liu, M. Hatano, and A. Morikawa, *Chem. Lett.*, **1986**, 467.
- 7) H. Imai and T. Tagawa, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, **1986**, 53.

(Received April 25, 1986)